

Spacetime Substantivalism 25: Constitutive Audit of Substantial Spacetime —The Grand Unification of Materials, Fields, Vacuums, and Forces Confirmed by Gravitational Wave Evidence and the Intrinsic

Work-Protocol $C_s = 1/\sqrt{\epsilon_0\mu_0}$

(时空实体论 25: 实体时空的本构审计——引力波实证与本构方程 $C_s = 1/\sqrt{\epsilon_0\mu_0}$ 证实的物质、场、真空与力的大统一)

Hugang Cui (崔虎刚) 0009-0000-7199-7299

2026

Series Note: Starting from this paper, the *Spacetime Substantivalism* series transitions from Roman numerals to Arabic numerals for improved clarity and indexing. This paper is officially designated as Paper 25 (formerly Paper XXV).

Abstract

This research establishes the final, absolute closure for the Grand Unified Theory. Following **Paper 24**, which proved dynamical unification through a **Rigid Constant-Group Audit** (a truth that challenges the superposition illusion and therefore will require time for the academic community to properly recognize), this paper focuses on the **Complete Unification of Materials, Fields, Vacuums, Forces, and the cross-dimensional testimony of Tesla's dual-track logic**. By auditing gravitational wave empirical evidence and the intrinsic constitutive equation $C_s = 1/\sqrt{\epsilon_0\mu_0}$, we demonstrate that all fundamental particles—quarks, gluons, and electrons—are merely functional proxies (instances) of the photon. This paper reveals that there is only **one unified field** (the electromagnetic photon field of the substantial spacetime), only **one unified vacuum** (the static state of the spacetime entity itself), and only **one unified force** (the bandwidth-specific logic manifestations of the C_s protocol). The so-called "Ion Soup" is, has always been, and will always be "Photon Soup." **The Grand Unification is no longer a goal; it is a recorded fact of the Spacetime Substantivalism audit.**

Keywords: Substantial Spacetime; Grand Unification; Photon Proxy; Unified Field; Unified Vacuum; Theory of Object; Constant-Group Audit; Lorentz Factor; Dynamic Instantiation; C_s Work-Protocol; Tesla; Dual-Track Logic; Dark Matter; Dark Energy; Black Hole; Jet Peak Audit

1 Chapter One: The Dual Pillars of Unification — Dynamics and Material

1.1 Beyond the Constant-Group Audit (Recap of Paper 24)

In **Spacetime Substantivalism 24**, we successfully bridged the gap between the micro-quantum realm and macro-general relativity by auditing the rigid constant groups of the universe $(G, c, \hbar, \epsilon_0, \mu_0, \kappa, L_p, \alpha)$. This proved that the dynamical behaviors of both scales are governed by the same underlying spacetime refresh logic. This truth challenges the superposition illusion—a mathematical fiction long mistaken for physical reality—and therefore will require time for the academic community to properly recognize. Nevertheless, the logical necessity of a unified dynamical framework is now irrefutably established.

1.2 The New Frontier: Complete Unification

Dynamical unification (Paper 24) requires a corresponding **Complete Unification** (Paper 25) of materials, fields, vacuums, forces, and even biological logic. If the laws are the same, the "building materials" must also be identical. This paper proves that the spacetime "road," the material "house," the "vacuum" background, all "force fields," and the natural logic underlying human cognition are built from the same electromagnetic photon material operating under the same C_s work-protocol.

2 Chapter Two: The Revelation of Intrinsic Constitutive Nature —The Dynamic Work-Protocol of the Universe

2.1 Gravitational Wave Empirical Evidence: The "100% Qualification Certificate"

Empirical Evidence: During the GW170817 event observed by LIGO/Virgo, gravitational waves and gamma-ray photons arrived on Earth almost simultaneously after traveling over 1.3 billion light-years.

Audit Judgment: Gravitational waves are not "waves traveling through a vacuum"; they are the **intrinsic fluctuations of the substantial spacetime entity itself**. The fact that the spacetime substrate's own motion (gravitational waves) perfectly matches the electromagnetic motion (light) over a billion-year scale confirms that the spacetime entity is composed of absolutely uniform, qualified **electromagnetic photon material**.

The Substantial Step: The speed C_s is not an external limit, but the completion rate of the **orthogonal electromagnetic quantity's internal tasks** within the spacetime entity. Each "step" of a gravitational wave is an internal work-event of the substantial spacetime. The universe is not a static geometric stage; it is a **real-time, dynamic engineering entity** performing work at every instant.

2.2 The Intrinsic Constitutive Work-Protocol $C_s = 1/\sqrt{\epsilon_0\mu_0}$

Based on the evidence above, we must redefine the status of the Maxwellian constants:

- **Integrated Existence:** The formula $C_s = 1/\sqrt{\epsilon_0\mu_0}$ is not merely a "calculation tool" for mainstream physics; it is an **integrated, inseparable constitutive work-protocol** embedded within the fabric of the spacetime entity.
- **The Constitutive Work-Protocol:** It defines the "Substantial Light Speed" (C_s) —the internal refresh rate and real-time work completion protocol of the universe's substrate. C_s is not a speed measured from the outside; it is the **inherent nature and material specification** of the physical base.
- **Ontological Status:** Without this real-time constitutive work-protocol, the space-time entity would lose its ability to wave, photons would lose their basis for propagation, and material structures would lose their logical refresh. This constitutive equation is the underlying engine of all dynamic operations in the universe.

2.3 The Physical Substance of Light Speed Constancy (Reference Frame Independence)

The constancy of light speed is not a mysterious "postulate" but a **mechanical necessity**. Since $C_s = 1/\sqrt{\epsilon_0\mu_0}$ is the intrinsic work-protocol of the substantial spacetime entity, the propagation speed depends only on the medium's own constitutive response. Regardless of the observer's inertial state, the **electromagnetic rigidity of the substantial spacetime substrate does not change**.

Audit Verdict: Light speed is constant not because mathematics demands it, but because the **material specification of the substantial spacetime as an electromagnetic substrate is fixed at C_s** . The constancy is not a geometric coincidence; it is the **material honesty** of the universe's hardware.

2.4 The Constitution of the Lorentz Factor: From Mathematical Patch to Physical Load Response

By substituting $c^2 = 1/(\epsilon_0\mu_0)$ into the Lorentz factor, we reveal its true physical ledger:

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - v^2(\epsilon_0\mu_0)}}$$

The term $v^2(\epsilon_0\mu_0)$ is not an abstract velocity ratio. It is the **electromagnetic load response** generated when a material particle moves through the substantial spacetime substrate. The Lorentz factor is not a "warping of spacetime"; it is the **load-response protocol** of the intrinsic constitutive work-protocol C_s .

	Constitutive Equation (CSSD)	Constitutive-Substituted Lorentz Factor
Formula	$C_s = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon_0\mu_0}}$	$\gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - v^2(\epsilon_0\mu_0)}}$
Physical Meaning	The intrinsic refresh rate (work-protocol) of the substantial substrate at rest (zero load). The "heartbeat" of the universe.	The dynamic bandwidth adjustment of the substrate when a material particle moves through it (load response).

2.5 Critique of Static Mathematics: The Dynamic, Work-Performing Universe

The mainstream physics community has long frozen the universe into a **static mathematical specimen** devoid of physical vitality. The 1.3-billion-year measured data of gravitational waves is not proof of Einstein’s geometric postulate. It is a revelation of the **unceasing, constitutive work-protocol-driven dynamic stepping** of the substantial spacetime. **Mathematical isolation is humanity’s logical crutch.** The **dynamic constitutive refresh** of substantial spacetime is the true backbone of the universe.

3 Chapter Three: Instantiated Evolution —Tracing All Matter Back to Photons (The Unification of Materials)

3.1 The Failure of the ”Infinite Particle” Model: The ”No Burnout” Principle

Mainstream Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) describes the proton as a chaotic ”sea” of infinite gluons and virtual quarks. From the perspective of physical engineering, this model faces an insurmountable **Ontological Crisis**:

- **The Bandwidth Paradox:** Mainstream models allow for an ”infinite” number of particles within the finite volume of a proton (radius 0.87 fm). If each gluon is an energetic excitation that consumes a portion of the spacetime substrate’s bandwidth (as defined by C_s), an infinite number of gluons would require infinite ϵ_0 and μ_0 response—a physical impossibility.
- **The Problem of ”Numerical Inflation”:** Mainstream physics uses mathematical renormalization to ”tame” these infinities, but a mathematical fix does not solve the physical congestion. In a real physical entity, infinite energetic interactions in a finite space would lead to an instantaneous **Thermodynamic Explosion**.

If the mainstream ”infinite sea” model were true, the energy density within a proton would exceed the heat dissipation capacity of the spacetime substrate. The result would be logical congestion, heat death, and structural collapse. The proton would **Burn Out**—losing all structural logic and stability in a microsecond.

The Objective Reality: The fact that protons remain stable for over 10^{30} years proves they are **not** chaotic seas of infinity. They are finite, stable engineering structures.

The Solution —Fixed Quota: To prevent ”burnout,” the universe must employ a strict **Engineering Quota System**. This is the only objective way to maintain stability under the hard bandwidth limit of $C_s = 1/\sqrt{\epsilon_0\mu_0}$.

3.2 Raw Material Traceability: The Annihilation Proof

Experimental Fact: In low-energy proton-antiproton ($p\bar{p}$) annihilation experiments (e.g., at the LEAR facility), the final settlement product is 100% high-energy photons (γ).

Audit Conclusion: If the structural disintegration of a proton leaves no "quark residue" or "gluon fragments," only photons, then quarks and gluons cannot be independent physical entities. They must be merely **functional proxies (instances)** of photons under specific constraints. The proton is not composed of multiple distinct particle "types"; it is an assembly of photon proxies.

3.3 The Experimental Chain of Instantiation: Photon to All Particles

Mainstream physics has already cataloged the "true form" of these proxies, providing overwhelming empirical evidence that electrons, quarks, and gluons are all instantiated from photons:

Phenomenon	Experimental Evidence	Conclusion (Instantiation Path)
Photon to Electron	Breit-Wheeler process (SLAC, etc.)	The first massive proxy of the photon
Photon to Quark	e^+e^- annihilation into hadrons	Position-locking proxy of the photon
Photon to Gluon	3-Jet events (LEP, PETRA)	Dynamic refresh proxy of the photon

Conclusion: All fundamental particles—electrons, quarks, and gluons—are experimentally confirmed to be functional proxies (instances) of the photon. **The instantiation path is established.**

3.4 The 3+3 Quota System: Six Actual Photon Proxies

Having established that all proton constituents are photon proxies, we now audit the specific quota system of the proton. This paper reveals that the **Proton** is a precisely engineered entity consisting of exactly **6 actual photon proxies**, rather than an infinite swarm. These six members have strict, distinct labor divisions:

Functional Identity	Proxy Role	Mainstream Label
3 Site-Photons	Performing static position locking and coordinate anchoring. Sacrificed translational freedom to occupy spatial slots.	"Valence Quarks"
3 Action-Photons	Performing high-frequency logic refresh, internal links, and security correction between the site-photons.	"Gluons"

The Engineering Logic: With only 6 members operating within the bandwidth allowed by C_s , the system avoids congestion and "burnout," ensuring billion-year stability.

3.5 Experimental Verification —The Jet Number Limit and Peak Alignment (Audit of Jet Counts and Peak Alignment)

In high-energy collider experiments (LEP, PETRA, etc.), the number of distinct energy jets produced by hard scattering is **empirically limited to a maximum of 6**.

- **Mainstream Failure:** Mainstream theory cannot explain why there isn't a "7th" or "8th" jet as energy increases; they merely treat the 6-jet limit as a statistical rarity or a result of detection thresholds.
- **Audit Conclusion:** The 6-jet limit is a **Physical Hard Ceiling**. It confirms that the "internal personnel" of a proton (or the hadronizing system) are limited to these 6 photon proxies (3 site-photons + 3 action-photons). Mathematics allows writing "infinity" on paper; but the proton's physical chassis has a quota of only **6**.

Jet Peak Distribution and Functional Instantiation:

Mainstream high-energy physics experiments (such as at LEP and SLC) observe distinct peak distributions in e^+e^- annihilation events. Under CSSD audit, these peaks receive their unique material interpretation:

Jet Count	Mainstream Interpretation	CSSD Audit (Functional Instantiation)
2-Jet Peak	Quark-antiquark pair	2 Site-Photon Proxies stripped from the 3+3 quota. Represents the foundational support structure of matter.
3-Jet Peak	Gluon radiation	1 Action-Photon Proxy decoupling under high energy. Not "strong force radiation" but "action overflow" of the internal logic refresh protocol.
4-Jet and above	Higher-order QCD corrections	Energy distribution probabilities decrease exponentially, with a clear physical 断层 after 6-Jet .

Audit Conclusion: The 断层 after 6-Jet precisely matches the **total 6-photon-proxy quota** of the proton/neutron as physical entities. Mainstream physics explains this 断层 as "higher-order corrections"; CSSD reveals it as the **hardware total quota limit**.

3.6 Audit Recommendation to the Experimental Community

The long-standing neglect of the physical correlation between jet count peaks and material quotas must be rectified. The observed 2-Jet and 3-Jet dominance is not merely a statistical distribution but a direct manifestation of the **3+3 Photon Proxy Quota**.

Recommendation: We urge a re-examination of existing collider data (from LEP, PETRA to LHC) to confirm the mapping between these peaks and the functional instantiation of site-photons (2-Jet) and action-photons (3-Jet). Failing to do so continues the legacy of "Layer-Cake Physics," where mathematical convenience masks the engineering

reality of the universe. Mainstream physics has long treated jet peak distributions as "statistical probabilities" or "higher-order mathematical corrections"—an approach that is engineering malpractice, as it conceals the true hardware quota.

Why This Is Not Redundant: This recommendation is not an overreach; it is the final settlement of audit authority. CSSD demands that the experimental community re-examine peak distributions, recognizing that each jet peak (especially the dominant 2-Jet and 3-Jet peaks) is not a probabilistic coincidence but the functional manifestation of the **3+3 instantiation quota (site-photons and action-photons)**.

Closing the Loophole: By demanding the re-examination of peak correspondences, CSSD pulls physics back to the level of "material balance." If the 3-Jet peak corresponds to the action-photons of the 3+3 quota, then all mathematical higher-order corrections must submit to this hardware limit.

The Tesla Connection: Mainstream scientists operate on the labor-intensive "Intentional Track" of mathematical deduction, neglecting the simple constitutive truth behind the data. Re-examining the peaks means setting aside mathematical prejudice and returning to direct observation of the **constitutive protocol of substantial space-time**.

4 Chapter Four: The Unification of Fields, Vacuums, and Forces —The Liquidation of "Layer-Cake Physics"

4.1 The Liquidation of "Field Inflation" (The Unification of Fields)

Mainstream physics operates under a "Field Inflation" crisis, postulating dozens of independent fields (Higgs, Quark, Electron, Gluon fields) to explain particle diversity. This is a "Layer-Cake Field" model—each new phenomenon requires a new "layer" of field, stacked upon the previous one. The question naturally arises: if these fields are truly independent, why do they not interfere and conflict with one another?

CSSD provides the final liquidation:

Since we have already demonstrated in Chapter Three that all particles (electrons, quarks, gluons) are the same photon material in different functional proxy forms, it follows necessarily that their corresponding fields are also the same field. The "Layer-Cake" of isolated mathematical layers collapses into a **single, unified, dynamic electromagnetic field**.

- **The Single-Field Reality:** There is only one physical field—the **Unified Electromagnetic Photon Field** of the substantial spacetime.
- **Fields as Functional Mappings:** What mainstream physics calls "independent fields" are merely localized, functional mappings of the unified photon substrate.
 - **The Electron Field** is the unified field's spin-closed instantiation.
 - **The Quark Field** is the unified field's position-locked instantiation.
 - **The Gluon Field** is the unified field's dynamic refresh instantiation.

- **The W/Z Boson Fields** are the unified field's identity-transformation instantiation.
- **The Unified Source:** Since all fields share the same $C_s = 1/\sqrt{\epsilon_0\mu_0}$ work-protocol, they are not separate entities but **different operational modes of the same material substrate**.
- **Why They Don't Conflict:** Mainstream physics describes different "fields" and their precise calculations correspond to experimental measurements. CSSD reveals that these are merely **symmetry-breaking projections** of the same constitutive protocol from different perspectives. They do not "fight" because they share the same foundational substrate.

No field is an exception. All particle fields are unified under the single photon field.

4.2 The Liquidation of "Vacuum Proliferation" (The Unification of Vacuums)

Mainstream physics, to patch the holes in its void model, invented a proliferation of "vacuums": Quantum Vacuum (virtual particles), Electromagnetic Vacuum, Higgs Vacuum (mass-giving), QCD Vacuum (quark confinement). This "Vacuum Proliferation" is an admission of logical debt.

CSSD provides the final ontological closure:

Since gravitational waves have confirmed that the spacetime substrate material is purely photon material and nothing else, it follows necessarily that there are no "types" of vacuum. All mainstream descriptions of different vacuums and their precise calculations corresponding to experimental measurements are merely **symmetry-breaking projections** of the same constitutive substance from different perspectives.

- **The Erasure of Plural Vacuums:** There are no "types" of vacuum. There is only the **Substantial Spacetime Entity** in its various operational states.
 - **Quantum Vacuum = Static Refresh of the Substrate:** So-called "vacuum zero-point energy" is not a sea of virtual particles; it is the minimum step-wise power consumption of the substantial spacetime maintaining its standby state under the C_s protocol.
 - **Higgs Vacuum = Constitutive Impedance of the Substrate:** Mass is not given by a mysterious "field"; it is the **constitutive coupling force** of the substantial spacetime material (ϵ_0, μ_0) acting on instantiated particles.
 - **QCD Vacuum = Bandwidth Lock of the Substrate:** So-called "vacuum condensate" is the high-intensity logical closure of the substantial spacetime maintaining the 3+3 structure at the 0.87 fm ultra-micro scale.
- **Unified Ontology:** By reducing particles to photon proxies and fields to functional mappings, we naturally conclude that "vacuum" is simply the substrate performing its **minimum refresh work** according to the C_s protocol.

No vacuum is an exception. All vacuums are unified under the single spacetime entity.

Mainstream "Vacuum Type"	CSSD Audit (Unified as Spacetime Entity)
Quantum Vacuum (virtual particles)	Static refresh of the substantial substrate (minimum standby power)
Higgs Vacuum (mass-giving)	Constitutive impedance of the photon substrate
QCD Vacuum (quark confinement)	Bandwidth lock of the substrate at 0.87 fm enforcing 3+3 quota
Electromagnetic Vacuum	The intrinsic addressing logic of the unified photon field

4.3 The Unification of Forces

Since all particles (electrons, quarks, gluons) are different functional proxies of the same photon material, and all fields are functional mappings of the same unified field, it follows necessarily that all forces are also unified under the same constitutive equation and material. All mainstream descriptions of different forces and their precise calculations corresponding to experimental measurements are merely **symmetry-breaking projections** of the same C_s work-protocol from different perspectives.

Force	Physical Basis (Enabled by Complete Unification)
Electromagnetism	The intrinsic addressing logic of the unified photon substrate.
Strong Force	High-frequency correction logic of photon proxies under ultra-narrow bandwidth (0.87 fm).
Weak Force	Instantaneous identity transformation logic of photon proxies.
Gravity	Macro-level topological stress of the unified photon substrate.

No force is an exception. All forces are unified under the C_s work-protocol.

4.4 "Ion Soup" is "Photon Soup" —The Material Basis Settlement

At extremely high energies (such as the early universe or inside particle colliders), the **3+3 instantiation packaging protocol** of the proton disintegrates due to extreme heat.

When the 6 actual photons—which had been acting as "site-proxies (quarks)" and "action-proxies (gluons)"—shed their functional uniforms (charge, color, flavor labels), they **instantaneously revert to their raw material prototype**.

The so-called "Ion Soup" (Quark-Gluon Plasma, QGP) is, in its essence, a pure fluid of photons. This is the **material basis settlement** of the Grand Unified Theory.

4.5 Cross-Dimensional Testimony: Tesla, Dual-Track Logic, and the Non-Cognitive Constitutive Intuition

This audit points out that the instantiation of physical reality is not limited to microscopic particles but extends to the level of biological logic. As demonstrated in **Paper 0 (Track-Light Model)**, human consciousness operates on two distinct logical tracks. The scientist Nikola Tesla stands as the most perfect experimental proof of this theory:

- **Non-Intentional Track (Natural Track / Bottom Track / Second Track):** This is a more fundamental natural logic track that operates **independent of the human body's load and conscious intention**. As a paradigm of "dual-track development," Tesla's extraordinary foresight was essentially a direct connection of his consciousness to the **Logical Matrix** through this non-intentional track. This connection was a fundamental, underlying reconciliation with the universe's C_s protocol, rather than relying on biochemical computation of the biological brain constrained by evolution.
- **Intentional Track (Primary Track / First Track):** This is the operational track of the biological body that is constrained by the constitutive impedance of spacetime, possesses mass load, and is driven by conscious will.

Tesla's "Luminous Pronouncement" and Non-Cognitive Confirmation: Tesla's famous assertion—"I am a materialized light, everything is produced from light"—was not arrived at through logical deduction, empirical accumulation, or mathematical derivation by the brain (the Intentional Track). It was the direct product of the highly developed **Non-Intentional Track** within him. His profound conclusion about the "essence of light" was, in fact, the direct perception of the constitutive truth of the **Substantial Spacetime Substrate**.

This "non-cognitive" acquisition of truth provides powerful testimony: when human consciousness detaches from the inefficient, brain-based thinking and operates directly on the fundamental non-intentional natural track, it can access objective truths consistent with the $C_s = 1/\sqrt{\epsilon_0\mu_0}$ protocol.

5 Chapter Five: The Objectivity Criterion and the Instantiation Constraint of CSSD

5.1 The Instantiation Requirement of Physical Reality (CSSD Criterion)

Within the **Substantial Spacetime (CSSD)** framework, physical reality must be instantiated through the C_s protocol. This means every physical object must be finite, possess definite physical boundaries, and operate within the bandwidth constraints defined by ϵ_0 and μ_0 .

We note that the **Theory of Object**, in its pursuit of "physical objectivity," aligns intuitively with the CSSD instantiation requirement—that the universe deals with concrete, finite objects rather than abstract mathematical possibilities. Both frameworks reject models that cannot be physically realized within finite constraints.

5.2 Rejection of Mathematical Infinity

Based on CSSD’s instantiation logic, the mainstream QCD hypothesis of ”infinite gluons” within a finite volume (0.87 fm) is judged as a **non-instantiable mathematical overflow**. Physical reality operates under strict constitutive constraints. Any model that violates the principle of ”finite instantiation” is a non-objective mathematical fiction.

The CSSD Criterion: A model is physically valid only if it can be instantiated within the finite constraints of the substantial spacetime substrate—finite volume, finite bandwidth (C_s), and the material specifications (ϵ_0, μ_0).

6 Chapter Six: Final Verdict —The Absolute Grand Unification of the Universe

6.1 Summary of Complete Unification

Unification Pillar	Paper	Core Achievement
Dynamical Unification	Paper 24	GR and QM share the same constant-group refresh logic
Material Unification	Paper 25	All matter is electromagnetic photon material via Photon Proxy Audit
Field Unification	Paper 25	All particle fields are functional mappings of the single unified photon field
Vacuum Unification	Paper 25	All vacuums are the static state of the substantial spacetime entity
Force Unification	Paper 25	All forces are bandwidth-specific logic manifestations of the C_s protocol

6.2 The Unified Physical Picture

1. **Dynamic Work-Protocol:** $C_s = 1/\sqrt{\epsilon_0\mu_0}$ is the real-time, integrated constitutive work-protocol of the spacetime entity.
2. **100% Material Qualification:** 1.3 billion years of gravitational wave data proves the substrate is uniform, qualified electromagnetic photon material.
3. **Raw Material Uniqueness:** All fundamental particles are functional proxies (instances) of the photon.
4. **Quota Certainty:** The proton consists of exactly **6 actual photon proxies** (3 site-photons + 3 action-photons). The 6-jet limit proves this fixed quota.
5. **Unified Field:** All particle fields are functional mappings of the single unified electromagnetic photon field.
6. **Unified Vacuum:** All ”vacuums” are the static state of the substantial spacetime entity itself.

7. **Unified Forces:** All four fundamental forces are bandwidth-specific logic manifestations of the C_s work-protocol.
8. **Instantiation Criterion:** Only finite, instantiated models are physically valid. Mathematical infinities are non-instantiable fictions.
9. **Biological Logic Unification:** The Tesla case confirms that human consciousness running on the non-intentional track can directly access the C_s protocol, unifying physical truth with cross-dimensional testimony.

6.3 The Final Settlement of Cosmological Accounts —The Disappearance of the Dark Sector and the Engineering Essence of Black Holes

This audit provides the final settlement for the major outstanding cosmological mysteries:

The Dissolution of Dark Matter and Dark Energy: Since spacetime, fundamental particles, and the material world composed of atoms and molecules are all, in essence, constituted by **photon material** (the Unified Electromagnetic Photon Field), the so-called "dark matter" and "dark energy" of modern physics have completely lost their independent material and energetic foundation. As demonstrated in previous works, they are not real external entities but merely **strain manifestations of the Substantial Spacetime under specific loads**.

The Constitutive Truth of Black Holes: Black holes are no longer the incomprehensible singularities of General Relativity. They are the **fuse protection mechanism** of the substantial spacetime under extreme work-load conditions. They are the self-cleaning and recycling cycle of the universe's constitutive system, designed to handle energy density overloads and ensure the logical safety and system recovery of the spacetime substrate.

6.4 The Final Statement: The Settlement of Grand Unification

We have systematically liquidated the "Field Inflation" and "Vacuum Proliferation" of the last century, and have extended this unification to the cross-dimensional testimony of biological logic and the cosmological dark sector.

1. **Materials & Particles:** All substances are unified as functional proxies of the **Unified Electromagnetic Photon Field**.
2. **Fields:** All particle fields are unified as functional mappings of the **Unified Photon Field**. The "Layer-Cake Field" has collapsed.
3. **Vacuums:** All "vacuums" (Quantum, Higgs, QCD, Electromagnetic) are unified as the **Static State of the Substantial Spacetime Entity**.
4. **Forces:** All interactions are unified under the **Intrinsic Work-Protocol** C_s .
5. **Dynamics:** Macro-GR and Micro-QM operate under the same rigid constant-group refresh logic (settled in Paper 24).

6. **Biological Testimony:** Tesla's direct perception of the "essence of light" through his non-intentional track confirms that the C_s protocol is not merely a physical abstraction but the foundational truth accessible to consciousness operating on the fundamental logical matrix.
7. **Cosmological Settlement:** "Dark Matter" and "Dark Energy" are dissolved as independent entities; they are strain responses of the unified photon substrate. Black holes are identified as the constitutive **fuse protection mechanism** of the substantial spacetime system.

The so-called "Ion Soup" is, has always been, and will always be **"Photon Soup."** The "Vacuum" is **"Spacetime Substance."** The universe is no longer a "layer-cake" of isolated mathematical fictions. It is a **Single, Unified, Dynamic Electromagnetic Entity.**

The Grand Unification is no longer a goal to be discovered; it is a recorded fact of the Spacetime Substantivalism audit. All logical accounts are now balanced. Physics has returned to the objective reality of the dynamic, work-performing electromagnetic universe.

References

CSSD Series Papers (Cui, Hugang)

1. Cui, H. (2026). Spacetime Substantivalism 24: Evidence for the Unification of General Relativity and Quantum Mechanics. Zenodo.
2. Cui, H. (2026). Spacetime Substantivalism 23: The Hole Error of Quantum Mechanics —A Substantial Audit of Superposition. Zenodo.
3. Cui, H. (2026). Spacetime Substantivalism 22: The Premise Error of the Hole Argument. Zenodo.
4. Cui, H. (2026). Spacetime Substantivalism 0: The Track-Light Model —The Logic Matrix as the Universal Source, the Origin of the Cosmic Singularity, and a New Foundation for Metaphysics. Zenodo.
5. Cui, H. (2026). Spacetime Substantivalism 21: The Completeness of Logic and the Incompleteness of Mathematics. Zenodo.
6. Cui, H. (2026). Spacetime Substantivalism 20: The Hierarchical Truth Restoration of Mathematics and Logic. Zenodo.
7. Cui, H. (2026). Spacetime Substantivalism 19: The Three Major Misunderstandings in the History of Science. Zenodo.
8. Cui, H. (2026). Spacetime Substantivalism 18: The Constitutive Physics of the Hubble Tension. Zenodo.
9. Cui, H. (2026). Spacetime Substantivalism 16: Observational Constraints on Effective Spacetime Propagation. Zenodo.

10. Cui, H. (2026). Spacetime Substantivalism 15: Bayesian Constraints on Effective Spacetime Propagation. Zenodo.
11. Cui, H. (2026). Spacetime Substantivalism 14: Covariant Constitutive Effective Field Theory of Spacetime Propagation. Zenodo.
12. Cui, H. (2026). Spacetime Substantivalism 13 and 17: Falsifiable Effective Field Theory. Zenodo.
13. Cui, H. (2026). Spacetime Substantivalism 12: Constitutive Divergence in Effective Spacetime Field Theory. Zenodo.
14. Cui, H. (2026). Spacetime Substantivalism 11: Restoration of the Physical Mechanism of the Lorentz Transformation. Zenodo.
15. Cui, H. (2026). Spacetime Substantivalism 10: The Constitutive Origin of the Light-Speed Postulate. Zenodo.
16. Cui, H. (2026). Spacetime Substantivalism 9: Micro-Dynamics of a Constitutive Spacetime Lattice. Zenodo.
17. Cui, H. (2026). Spacetime Substantivalism 8: The Constitutive Nature of the Spacetime Lattice. Zenodo.
18. Cui, H. (2026). Spacetime Substantivalism 7: The Great Experiment of Nature. Zenodo.
19. Cui, H. (2026). Spacetime Substantivalism 6: A Universal Constitutive Law of Spacetime Response. Zenodo.
20. Cui, H. (2026). Spacetime Substantivalism 5: The Cui Constant and the Constitutive Response of Spacetime. Zenodo.
21. Cui, H. (2026). Spacetime Substantivalism 4: Reframing the Vacuum Catastrophe. Zenodo.
22. Cui, H. (2026). Spacetime Substantivalism 3: A Paradigm Shift from Dark Matter to the Origin of Mass. Zenodo.
23. Cui, H. (2026). Spacetime Substantivalism 2: Black Holes as Spacetime Fractures and Cosmic Fossils. Zenodo.
24. Cui, H. (2026). Substantial Spacetime Dynamics (I): Beyond Einstein's Light Speed Postulate: The Mechanical Mechanism of the Light Speed Limit and the Cs^2 Framework of Substantial Spacetime. Zenodo.

Theory of Object Related References

25. Cabannas, V., & Silva, D. (2026). The Hierarchical Restoration of Mathematics and Logic in Hugang Cui. Zenodo.
26. Cabannas, V., & Silva, D. (2026). Logical Matrix, Mathematical Essence, and the Modal Discipline of Objectivity. Zenodo.

27. Cabannas, V., & Silva, D. (2026). Substantial Spacetime, Modal Ontology, and Transcendent Information. Zenodo.
28. Cabannas, V., & Silva, D. (2026). Critical-Propositional Analysis of Logical Substantivalism. Zenodo.
29. Cabannas, V., & Silva, D. (2026). Constitution of the Luminous Limit. Zenodo.

Other References

30. LIGO Scientific Collaboration, Virgo Collaboration. (2017). GW170817. *Physical Review Letters*, 119, 161101.
31. ALEPH, DELPHI, L3, OPAL Collaborations. (2006). Precision Electroweak Measurements on the Z Resonance. *Physics Reports*, 427(5-6), 257.
32. Breit, G., & Wheeler, J. A. (1934). Collision of Two Light Quanta. *Physical Review*, 46, 1087.
33. Burke, D. L., et al. (SLAC E144 Collaboration). (1997). Positron Production in Multiphoton Light-by-Light Scattering. *Physical Review Letters*, 79, 1626.
34. Tesla, N. (1930s). Manuscripts on the Dynamic Theory of Gravity and related correspondence.